

Remarks

In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that claims 1-24 are pending in the application, and that claims 2, 5, 15, 22, 24 are objected to.

By this amendment objected to claims 2, 5, 15, 22 and 24 have been amended. Additionally, independent claim 1, originally allowed, has been amended so that claim 2 in its amended form is properly dependent on claim 1.

Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 c as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Thus, claim 1 is limited to the NO<sub>2</sub> substitution on C<sub>2</sub> while claim 2 which depends from claim 1, expands the permissible substituents.

Amended claim 1 expands the scope of permissible substituents by reciting a Markush group of strongly electron withdrawing substituents or chemical moieties represented by X in formula I.

Amended claim 2 is limited to the NO<sub>2</sub> substituent. There is no new matter as the same Markush group is found in original claim 2.

Amended claim 2 is properly dependent on claim 1 by virtue of the limitations it imposes on the scope of permissible electron withdrawing substituents.

Amended claim 5 and 24 contain a period at the end of the claim in compliance with MPEP 608.01 (m).


Claim 15 has been amended so that it imposes a further limit on the subject matter of claim 1.

Claim 22 has been amended to properly depend from claim 21.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance and that action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Stuart P. Suskind  
Registration No. 40,192

Date: December 08, 2004